

**Amendments to the Drawings:**

No replacement drawings are required as discussed below.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 23-30 and 45-50 are now pending in the application. In response to the Office Action mailed October 17, 2006, Claims 1, 11, 22, and 45 are rewritten upon entry of this paper. Applicants hereby request reconsideration and further examination.

Claims 2 and 50 were objected to because of informalities. Claims 2 and 50 are rewritten to overcome these objections, and Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are now in proper form with respect to the deficiencies noted in the Office Action. The drawings in reference to various claims were also objected to and the relevant claims have been cancelled and thus no changes to the drawings are required, although the Applicants wish to bring to the Examiner's attention, that all of the referenced features are available to the Examiner in the references incorporated by reference, specifically references 4,473,029 and 4,546,060 as well as co-pending U.S. application Publication number 20050202164 based on Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/551464, titled "Powder Coating Apparatus and Method of Powder Coating Using an Electromagnetic Brush," filed on March 9, 2004, which is commonly assigned, and which was incorporated by reference.


Independent claims 1, 11, 23 and 45 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Dessie and/or Nakazato. Claims 1, 11, 23 and 45 have been amended to more particularly claim the invention for an angled roller as supported in the specification in many places including page 17 in reference to figure 4. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner specifically note where the prior art references teach this limitation. Angled development systems as claimed in independent claims 1, 11, 23 and 45 would have detrimental effects to the Desie art. In particular, because the development systems must be switched between records, the length "c" in figure 3 would likely have to be increased to allow for the increased effective width of the development systems. This is directly counter to Desie's interest to minimize volume of the hardware by proposing an architecture that only needs a single record writing unit.

The Applicants repeat the arguments presented with respect to the independent claims for the dependent claims that stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

102, and respectfully request that the rejection of these claims on this basis and, in view of the amendments made to these claims, be withdrawn since these claims are dependent on allowable independent claims as discussed above.

In conclusion, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 23-30 and 45-50 are allowable in their present form, and hereby request such allowance. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned in the event that a phone interview will expedite prosecution of this application towards allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 47,979

Donna P. Suchy/tt  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: 585-722-9844  
Facsimile: 585-477-1148

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.